December 13, 1996

MEMORANDUM

Orville D. Green, Assistant Administrator TO:

Air and Hazardous Waste

Martin Bauer, Chief Air Quality Permitting Bureau FROM:

Issuance of Tier II Operating Permit #777-00009 to

Idaho Sand & Gravel (Portable Asphalt Plant)

PURPOSE

The purpose of this memorandum is to satisfy the requirements of IDAPA 16.01.01 Sections 400 through 406 (Rules for the Control of Air Pollution in Idaho) for issuing TIER II Operating Permits.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

This project is for the issuance of a Tier II Operating Permit (OP) to Idaho Sand & Gravel for a portable hot-mix asphalt plant. The Tier II OP will establish the portable facility as a synthetic minor source.

SUMMARY OF EVENTS

On May 31, 1996, DEQ received a Tier II OP application, dated May 29, 1996. On July 1, 1996, the application was declared complete. On July 22, 1996, DEQ received source testing information to supplement the application.

On September 11, 1996, a proposed Tier II OP was issued for public comment. The public comment period was from September 27, 1996, through October 28, 1996. On October 7, 1996, DEQ received comments about the content of the proposed OP. These comments were addressed by DEQ in the response package.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the review of the Tier II OP application materials and of applicable state and federal rules and regulations concerning the permitting of air pollution sources, the Bureau staff recommends that Idaho Sand & Gravel be issued a Tier II OP. Staff members also recommend that the facility be notified in writing of the obligation to pay permit application fees for the Tier II OP.

ODG\SJR\MS:jrj...\permit\Idsand\Idsandf.IMM

OP File Manual cc:

Source File

COF

December 13, 1996

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Martin Bauer, Chief

Air Quality Permitting Bureau

Air & Hazardous Waste

FROM:

Mike Simon, Air Quality Engineer Air Quality Permitting Bureau

New Source Review

THROUGH:

Susan J. Richards, Air Quality Permits Manager

Air Quality Permitting Bureau

Operating Permits

SUBJECT:

Technical Analysis for Tier II Operating Permit #777-00009 Idaho Sand & Gravel; Portable Barber Green Asphalt Plant

PURPOSE

The purpose of this memorandum is to satisfy the requirements of IDAPA 16.01.01 Sections 400 through 406 of the (Rules for the Control of Air Pollution in Idaho) for issuing Tier II Operating Permits.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

A proposed Tier II Operating Permit (OP #777-00009) was issued on September 11, 1996. During the scheduled public comment period DEQ received several comments from the applicant. This technical analysis covers all requested changes to the proposed OP by the applicant and are summarized below:

- The applicant requested to decrease the allowable PM-10 emission rate to provide more operational flexibility. The allowable PM-10 emission rate limit in the OP has been decreased from 17.32 lb/hr to 7.50 lb/hr based on a grain leading standard of 0.04 gr/dscf.
- The applicant requested that residual fuel (ASTM Grade #5) be added to the application for the burner fuel. An analysis was conducted which assumes that the worst case sulfur content of the fuel is 1.75% by weight. As a result of this new analysis, the maximum allowable asphalt production was decreased from 2,491,330 T/yr to 923,917 T/yr. Annual SO₂ limitation remains at 99.0 T/yr.

SUMMARY OF EVENTS

On May 31, 1996, DEQ received a Tier II OP application, dated May 29, 1996. On July 1, 1996, the application was declared complete. On July 22, 1996, DEQ received source testing information to supplement the application.

On September 11, 1996, a proposed Tier II OP was issued for public comment. The public comment period was from September 27, 1996, through October 28, 1996. On October 7, 1996, DEQ received comments about from the applicant. These comments are addressed by DEQ in the response package.

DISCUSSION

1. Process Description

Sand and gravel are conveyed from a feed system, which weighs the material, to the drum dryer. In the dryer, the material is exposed to an open-flame generated by a diesel-fired horizontal burner. The material is dried in the front portion of the drum, and liquid asphalt is added in the back of the drum. The finished asphalt is then moved by a slat conveyor to overhead storage silos to be loaded on trucks. This asphalt plant was originally constructed in 1978.

2. Equipment listing

2.1 The hot-mix asphalt plant has the following specifications:

Manufacturer: Barber Green

Maximum Rated . Capacity: 400 T/hr

Model #: DM-60

2.2 The horizontally fired burner in the drum dryer has the following specifications:

-

Manufacturer: Hauck

Maximum Rated Capacity: 82.4 MM Btu/hr

Model #: JB375-82

Fuel Type: Residual Fuel Oil (ASTM #4, #5, #6)

3. Control Description

Particulate emissions from the drum dryer are vented to and controlled by a wet scrubber. The wet scrubber has the following specifications:

Manufacturer:

Yankee Machine

4. Stack Specifications

4.1 The asphalt plant has the following stack specifications:

Stack Height: 17.0 ft
Stack Diameter: 3.0 ft

Average Flow Rate: 45,000 acfm Average Exit Temperature: 300° F

5. Area Classification

Idaho Sand & Gravel requests to operate the portable asphalt plant within all attainment, unclassifiable, and non-attainment areas in the state of Idaho.

6. Facility Classification

The asphalt plant facility is not a designated facility as defined in IDAPA 16.01.01.006.25. The asphalt plant facility is not a major facility as defined in IDAPA 16.01.01.008.14, because the Tier II OP will limit the potential to emit (PTE) of this facility below 100 tons per year (TPY) for all regulated air pollutants.

7. Emission Factors

The hot mix asphalt (HMA) emission estimates were calculated using emission factors (EF) obtained from the U.S. EPA AP-42. Table 7-1 below summarizes each EF used for estimating HMA emissions at the stack. Note: Sulfur dioxide emissions are based on the sulfur content in the fuel oil multiplied by the EF. The applicant has requested to burn ASTM Grade #5 fuel oil which assumes maximum sulfur content (S) of 1.75 weight percent. PM-10 emissions are based on the assumption that the maximum grain loading after scrubber control will meet the 0.04 gr/dscf requirements as established in the New Source Performance Standards for Hot Mix Asphalt Plants (40 CFR 60.90). PM-10 emissions were calculated based on the average flow rate in dscf calculated based on 45,000 acfm submitted in the application.

Table 7-1. HMA Emission Factors

Pollutant	gr/dscf	Residual Fuel (lb/10' gal)	AP-42 Reference		
PM-10	0.04				
СО		5	Table 1.3-2		
NO ^z		55	Table 1.3-2		
so,		1575	Table 1.3-0		

Idaho Sand - TECH MEMO December 13, 1996 Page Three

8. Emission Estimates

A spreadsheet was developed to calculate allowable emissions and production rates which will limit the facility's FTE for all regulated air pollutants to below 100 tons per year (TPY). The spreadsheet also calculates ambient impacts based on Screen3 modeling results to ensure that all applicable National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) will be met in attainment areas and that there will be no significant contribution to a NAAQS violation in non-attainment areas. Spreadsheet calculations are presented in Appendix A of this memo.

The maximum allowable asphalt throughput, based on a 400 T/hr capacity, was calculated to be 923,917 T/yr. This throughput limit corresponds to a sulfur dioxide (SO_2) annual emission rate limit of 99 TPY when firing residual (ASTM Grade #5) fuel oil in the burner. The annual throughput limit will ensure that the facility will remain a minor facility in accordance with IDAPA 16.01.01.008.14. All other air pollutants, i.e. NO_x , CO & PM-10, are inherently limited below 99 TPY by permit conditions.

For PM-10 non-attainment or proposed non-attainment area operations, the maximum allowable asphalt throughput, based on 400 T/hr capacity, was calculated to be 4,643 T/day. This daily throughput protects the PM-10 24-hr significant contribution requirements to areas that are in violation of the PM-10 NAAQS (non-attainment areas). The facility PM-10 impact is well below the annual PM-10 significant contribution level when annual throughput limits are set at 923,917 T/yr.

9. Modeling

Modeling emissions from the asphalt plant stack was conducted using EPA approved SCREEN3 computer modeling program. The maximum one hour impact was calculated to be $3.45~\mu g/m^3$ using a one lb/hr emission rate input.

The only limitation required on this facility, based on the ambient analysis, is in the protection of the 24-hr PM-10 significant contribution requirement of 5.0 $\mu\text{g/m}^3$. A limitation of 4,643 T/day throughput is required to meet this ambient standard in PM-10 non-attainment areas. All other ambient standards will be met at the proposed allowable throughput limits.

All Screen3 Modeling Output files are presented in Appendix B of this memo.

10. Regulatory Review

10.1 NSPS Applicability

The Idaho Sand & Gravel asphalt facility is an affected facility as defined in 40 CFR 60.90, Subpart I - Standards of Performance for Hot-Mix Asphalt Facilities, because the source commenced construction after the applicability date of June 11, 1973. According to the Tier II application, actual construction occurred in 1978.

NOTE: This facility is defined as a Tier I Source in accordance with IDAPA 16.01.01.006.100.b. because the facility is subject to the requirements established in 40 CFR 60.90. Therefore, this Tier I Source will be required to obtain a Tier I operating permit by June 1, 1999, in accordance with IDAPA 16.01.01.301.02.b.i..

Idaho Sand - TECH MEMO December 13, 1996 Page Four

10.2 Regulatory Requirements

In summary, the facility is subject to the following state and federal regulatory requirements:

IDAPA 16.01.01.401 IDAPA 16.01.01.403 IDAPA 16.01.01.404	Tier II Operating Permit; Permit Requirements for Tier II Sources; Procedures for Issuing Permits;
IDAPA 16.01.01.405 IDAPA 16.01.01.406	Conditions for Tier II Permits; Obligation to Comply; Permit Application Fees for Tier II
IDAPA 16.01.01.470	Permits;
IDAPA 16.01.01.577	Ambient Air Quality Standards for Specific Air Pollutants;
IDAPA 16.01.01.590	New Source Performance Standards;
<u>IDAPA 16.01.01.625</u>	Visible Emissions;
IDAPA 16.01.01.650	Reasonably Control Fugitive Emissions;
IDAPA 16.01.01.727	Residual Fuel Cils;
IDAPA 16.01.01.728	Distillate Fuel Oil;
IDAPA 16.01.01.805	Rules for Control of Hot-Mix Asphalt Plants; and
40 CFR 60.90	Standards of Performance for Hot-Mix Asphalt Facilities.

11. AIRS

The AIRS database requires minor updating. A copy of the changes made to the AIRS computer output file for this facility is presented in Appendix C of this memo.

FEES

Tier II application fees apply to this facility in accordance with IDAPA 16.01.01.470. The facility is subject to a five hundred dollar (\$500) application fee.

Upon issuance of this Tier II OP, this facility will no longer be subject to registration and registration fees in accordance with IDAPA 16.01.01.525. Currently, based on a review of the Air Emissions Database Master List, dated August 2, 1996, Idaho Sand & Gravel has paid for approximately 13.5 tons of pollutants required to be registered in accordance with IDAPA 16.01.01.525.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the review of the Tier II OP application materials and of applicable state and federal rules and regulations concerning the permitting of air pollution sources, the Bureau staff recommends that the portable Idaho Sand & Gravel facility be issued a Tier II OP. Staff members also recommend that the facility be notified in writing of the obligation to pay permit application fees for the Tier II OP.

MB\SJR\MS:jrj...\permit\Idahosand\idsandf.TPM

cc: Boise Regional Office
 R. Wilkosz/TSB
 P. Rayne/AFS
 Source File
 COF

APPENDIK A

Idaho Sand & Gravel
Emission Estimates and Impact Analysis Spreadsheet

Miles Studes New 12, 1996 IS & G. wal

Baglaser: Date: Filescore:

debis Sup & Gran! 100 TA: Bubs Grees Hot Mit Aspan Pless Portube

Company: Profect: Page 1 of F

OUTPUT

Uncontrolled Emissions	Controlled Cartelons	Dr yer	Uncontrolled Emissions	Controlled Emissions	
	8.65 tona/x	714		£.65 toes/st	
	£ 65 tons/yr	PM-10		\$ 65 tons/se	
2.40 tons/ye	2.40 tops/st	CO	2.46 tous/sr	2.40 toss/yr	
26.42 tons/w	26.42 tons/w	NO.	26.42 tour/yr	26.42 tous/w	
132.90 tous/yr	99.00 tomafyr	\$02	132.00 1004/3	99.00 tone/st	
		Generator			
0.00 toss/ye	6.00 tous/yr	PM	9.00 tons/yr	0.00 toss/yr	
0.00 toneys	0.00 tour/yr	PM-10	0.00 tous/yr	0.00 tone/ye	
0.00 tons/yr	0.00 toes/yr	CO	0.00 tous/yr	0.00 tous/yr	
0,00 ±04±/yr	9.00 turs/yr	NOx	0.00 tous/yr	0.00 toxx/yr	
6.00 tosa/yr	0.00 tons/yr	502	0.99 tout/yr	0.00 tous/yr	
		Pugitives			
7 toss/yr	5.95 ices/yr	PM PM	6.95 tona/je	6.95 tons/yr	
3 tone/yr	2.63 tops/yr	5M - 10	2.53 tous/yt	2.61 tost/jt	
		Totale:			
7 toes/yr	15.60 tons/yr	PM	6.95 tons/yr	15.60 tons/yr	
3 tone/yr	11.2\$ tons/yr	PM-~10	2.63 tou#yr	11.28 ton#yr	
2.40 tons/yr	2.40 toms/yr	CO	2.40 tonsyr	2.40 tons/yr	
26.42 toas/yr	26.42 toxx/yr	NOx	26.42 tous/ye	25.42 toxa/yr	
132.00 toas/yr	99.00 tons/yr	\$02	132.00 foak/yr	99.00 tons/yr	·
132 [=] T/yr	99.0 [=] T/yr	THI- V	132 [∞] T/yr	99.0 (=[T/)#	
of SO ₄	of SO ₄	PER Summery	of SO:	of \$64	
132 [=] T/yr	99.0 (=) T/yr	Pacility	132 (=) T/x	99.0 (=) T/y	······
el SO ₄	of SOr	PESSONNEY	of 201	of SO:	
for could a Limbs Attainment Acces			Hafaccashis I laits Nos-A		
24.0 brs/day	2,310 lu/yr	Operation	11.6 hrs/day	2,310 br/yr	
9,600 tons/day	923,917 tons/year	Limits	4,643 tons/day	923,917 tous/year	
ryer Controlled Emission Estes		Eprisa (em Limita	Fryer Conscioned Unitedian Ruse	•	
7.49 Harbour	B.7 tops/year	PM-10	7.49 %b/boar	8.7 tost/year	
2.08 Ma/sour	2.4 tous/year	CO	2.08 Ns/kour	2.4 tout/year	
22.88 Ba/kow	26.4 tons/year	NOE	22.88 Rus/hour	26.4 tons/year	
\$5.72 Bukes	99.0 toss/year	802	45.72 Tou/hour	99.0 tons/yew	
		Entutes			
onerates Controlled Emission Rates		Link	Conserntor Controlled Emission.		
8.00 buAcur	0.00 tons/year	PM - 10	0.00 Hu/kow	0.0 tons/year	
6.00 Hu/kour	0.00 tons/year	CO	0.00 lbs/sour	0.0 tous/year	
0.00 Ha/bow	0.00 tons/year	NOK	0.00 Ru/bose	0.0 tops/year	
0.00 lbs/how	0.00 горијуви	\$02	0.90 lbs/kow	Q.O tons/yess	
	4.5		DEQ Chesification:	A2	
EQ Chasification:	A2	I	11.15.A. 产量和软件机构型:	na .	

ATTAINMENT/NOM-CLASSIFIABLE AREA	S == MORKLI	NO ANALYSIS.	Act									
			Ullowable Impacts				ı İ	ermitted impact	i	- 4		
		NA.	AQS	< 100 TPY				NA.			< 160 TPY	
	la esuali	to specifi		to stwolf	Howrs of	House of	Cakubied	Cakabued	T		Calculated	
1	Operation	Operation		Operation	Operation	Operation	24-hs Impact	Assess Impact	l .		Eminios:	
Polytant		(=] hr/year	Cibys	L. (m) bilyaar	L= hr/day	[m] hr/year	i≝ µ2/m3	= #2/24	Other			
PM	N/S	N/S		1	24.0	2,310			1			
PM	24.0	6,760		8,760			10.3	0.5			11,28	
CO	N/S	N/S		8,760			29	0.2			2.46	
			t.Đ ³						7.2 '			
			\$.0 ¹						5.6			
ΝO	N/S	\$,760		4,654				1.2			26,42	
\$0,	24.0	3,760		2,310			1183	6.2	1		99.00	
			3.0 '				****		266.2		1 2	

PUGITIYE : QUECES	PM	TM.
Pro-Dryer Source Emissions ([=] lb/hr)		
Louder -> Cold Aggregate Bin	2,0051	0.7587
Cold Aggregate Blu -> Couveyor	2,005‡	0.7587
Couveyer > Drum Dryer	2.0051	0.7587
Total Fre- Dryer Source Emissions	6.0154	2.2761
Post - Dryer Source Radislous		
Screening Process		
Screen -> Not Bins	ļ	
Hot Blas> Weigh Hopper		
Weigh Hoppet -> Pug Mill		
Total Past - Dryer Source Embesions	1	
Scevenger Control Efficiency	N/A	N/A
Total Uncontrolled Emissions ([*) lb/hr)	6.0	2.3
Total Uscostrolled Emissions ([w] tonyr)	6,9	2.6
Total Controlled Emissions ([*] lb/hr)	6.0	2.3
Tetal Controlled Embriose (1=1 tos/yr)	6.25	2.63

	<u> Mon-attainment areas modeli</u> i	197	NAL.	YIII	
1					

			The second secon							- Harris Contract		
	A loweble (appacts				Persitted Impects							
		NA NA	A Q4		< 100 TPY				NA.	4 (35		< 100 TPY
	Hours of	liozts of			Itours of	House of	Hours of	Calculated	Culculated			Calculated
	Operation	Operation			Operation	Operation	Operation	24 lut Impact	Annual Impact			Barleslons
Political	im i briday	[#] bs/yess	" Other		[[[br/yeut]	[=1]e/dey		imiwe/esi	[#]##/#}	Other		Land Total Contract
PAI	N/S	N/S			[11.6	2,310					
225.	11.5	4.237	l		4,760	i		5.0	0.5			11.24
co	N/\$	N/\$			4,760			1.4	0.2			2.40
			1.0 1						***	7.2 4		1 [
			8.0 *					***		5.0 °		
NO	N/S	8,760			8,654				1.7			26.42
50)	24.0	4,760		·	2,316			\$7.2	6.2			99.00
			3.0 3							266.2	11000	.1

PUGITIVE SOURCES		PM.
Pro-Depar Source Embelons (= lb/hr)		
Londer -> Cold Aggregate life	2,0051	6,751?
Cold Aggregate Bla -> Conveyor	2.0051	6.7547
Conveyor -> Drum Dryer	2.0051	0,7587
Total Pre - Pryor Lource Emissions	6.0154	2,1761
Post - Dryor Saucea Embalons	j	
Screening Process		
Screen -> 11st bins		w w #
flot lilus -> Weigh Hopper		
Weigh Hopper -> Pug Mill	<u></u>	==
Tutui Post - Dryer Louge Lindselons		
Scavenger Control Efficiency	NAT HERAGE	N/A
Total Hacontrolled Rudstions ([# liths)	6.0	2.3
Total tincontrolled findssions (f = ten/yr)	6.9	2.6
Total Controlled Emissions (j# ft/hr)	6.0	2.3
Total Controlled Budstless (In June 1)	6.9\$	1.63

Source: National Asphab Pavennest Association
Notes:

CO 8-br Averaging Period
CO 8-br Averaging Period

¹S(1, 3- hr Averaging Period ¹TPY calculation includes fugitive enterious from Table 6.

CONVERSION TO STANDARD CONDITIONS

Idaho Sand & Gravel Portable Asphalt Plant

P = Actual Pressure (IN. HG)	_	29.92
Bw = Actual Moisture Fraction		0.30
T = Actual Temperature (deg F)		300
Qa = Actual flowrate (ACFM)		\$5000
Annual Hours of Operation	*****	

Conversion to Dry Standard Conditions

Qds = Flowrate in Dry Standard Cubic Feet per Minute Qds = (1-Bw)*(Qa)*(528/T)*(P/29.92) = 21851.3 DSCFM

Conversion PPMv to Weight/Volume

	PPMv	lb/ft3	<u>ug/m3</u>
CO	0.0	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
NO		0.00E+00	0.00E+00
SO2		0.00E+00	0.00E+00
О3		0.00E+00	0.00E+00

Pounds/Cubic Feet = PPMv*Molecular Weight/(385*10^6)
Micrograms/Cubic Meter = PPM*Molecular Weight/(22.4*10^3*298/273)

Emissions

	<u>lb/hr</u>	tons/year	grams/sec
CO	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
NO	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
SO2	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
О3	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00

File Drystand.wk1

APPENDIX B

Idaho Sand & Gravel Screen3 Modeling Output 08/15/96 14:07:32 *** SCREEN2 MODEL RUN *** *** VERSION DATED 92245 ***

Idaho Sand & Gravel Portable 400 T/hr Barber Green HMA

SIMPLE TERRAIN INPUTS: SOURCE TYPE POINT .126000 EMISSION RATE (G/S) STACK HEIGHT (M) 5.1816 = .9144 STK INSIDE DIAM (M) *** STK EXIT VELOCITY (M/S) = 32.3403 STK GAS EXIT TEMP (K) = 422.0389 AMBIENT AIR TEMP (K) 293.0000 = RECEPTOR HEIGHT (M) 1.5240 77 URBAN/RURAL OPTION **AW** RURAL .0000 BUILDING HEIGHT (M) ***

BUOY. FLUX = 20.269 M**4/S**3; MOM. FLUX = 151.780 M**4/S**2.

*** FULL METEOROLOGY ***

MIN HORIZ BLDG DIM (M) =

MAX HORIZ BLDG DIM (M) =

*** TERRAIN HEIGHT OF 0. M ABOVE STACK BASE USED FOR FOLLOWING DISTANCES ***

.0000

DIST (M)	CONC (UG/M**3)	STAB	Ulom (M/S)	USTK (M/S)	MIX HT (M)	PLUME HT (M)	SIGMA Y (M)	SIGMA Z (M)	DWASH
	0000	~ ~ ~ ~	1.0		320.0	209.84		A 33	***
1.	.0000	1		1.0			4.33	4.31	NO
100.	.4610	4	20.0	20.0	6400.0	15.41	8.31	4.84	NO
200.	3.198	4	20.0	20.0	6400.0	15.41	15.71	8.76	NO
300.	3.293	4	20.0	20.0	6400.0	15.41	22.78	12.41	NO
400.	2.803	4	15.0	15.0	4800.0	18.83	29.71	15.76	NO.
500.	2.369	4	15.0	15.0	4800.0	18.83	36.36	18.71	NO
600.	2.145	4	10.0	10.0	3200.0	25.65	43.12	22.00	NO
700.	1.912	4	10.0	10.0	3200.0	25.65	49.53	24.74	NO
800.	1.744	4	8.0	8.0	2560.0	30.76	56.05	27.76	NO
900.	1.586	4	8.0	8.0	2560.0	30.7 6	62.31	30.36	NO
1000.	1.436	4	8.0	8.0	2560.0	30.76	68.52	32.91	NO
MUMIXAM	1-HR CONCENT	RATION	AT OR	BEYOND	1. M				
248.	3.452	4	20.0	20.0	6400.0	15.41	19.21	10.58	NO

MEANS NO CALC MADE (CONC = 0.0) DWASH=NO MEANS NO BUILDING DOWNWASH USED DWASH=HS MEANS HUBER-SNYDER DOWNWASH USED DWASH=SS MEANS SCHULMAN-SCIRE DOWNWASH USED DWASH=NA MEANS DOWNWASH NOT APPLICABLE, X<3*LB **************** *** SUMMARY OF SCREEN MODEL RESULTS *** *********** CALCULATION MAX CONC DIST TO TERRAIN PROCEDURE (UG/M**3) MAX (M) HT (M) _____ -----SIMPLE TERRAIN 3.452 248. 0.

APPENDIX C

Idaho Sand & Gravel AIRS

Response to Comments and Questions Submitted During a

Public Comment Period on Idaho Sand & Gravel's

Proposed Tier II Operating Permit (OP) #777-00009 for the Entire Facility

COMMENTS AND RESPONSES

Comment #1: The applicant has requested to decrease the permitted allowable PM-10 emission rate limit from 17.32 lb/hr to 8.66 lb/hr.

DEO Response: DEO has refined the PM-10 emission rate by utilizing the grain loading standard defined in the New Source Performance Standards for Hot Mix Asphalt Facilities (40 CFR 60.90). This technology based standard is defined as 0.04 gr/dscf (grains per dry standard cubic foot). Based upon the standard volumetric flowrate for the Idaho Sand & Gravel facility, the minimum PM-10 allowable emissions is 7.5 lb/hr.

Comment #2: The applicant has requested to add #5 fuel oil to the
 permit.

<u>DEO Response:</u> DEQ revised the final OP to reflect this comment and has incorporated the use of ASTM #5 fuel oil for the drum dryer burner.